



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/731,805

12/08/2003

Christoph Bussler

021756-002600US

5730

51206

7590

06/05/2008

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP  
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER  
8TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

GORDON, CARLENE M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2165

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/05/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/731,805	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BUSSLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Carlene Gordon	<b>Art Unit</b> 2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Examiner Carlene Gordon. (3) Primary Examiner Neveen Abel-Jalil.  
 (2) Preetam Pagar (57684). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: June 3, 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sang-Kyum Kim et al., "Immediate and Partial Validation Mechanism for the Conflict Resolution of Update Operations in XML Databases", publication 2002.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained nature of invention and how claim 1 distinguishes from prior art reference Sang. No agreement was reached. The final office action will be maintained. Applicant was advised to clarify claim limitations in any future communication. Applicant's response will be filed shortly..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Neeven Abel-Jalil/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2165

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required